

MSc Exam Review

Name of student	St. Nr.	Course code	EC	Date

Graduation Report

Description	Aspect	Comments
Content		
Does the title of the report reflect the topic sufficiently and clearly?	Title	
Does the abstract contain a sufficient summary of the work done?	Abstract	
Does the introduction present the importance of the topic and position it based on recent literature?	Relevance	
Does the introduction present a clear objective?	Objective	
If applicable: have the experimental set-up and experimental methodology been described accurately? Is the set-up suitable in view of the objectives? Has an error / accuracy analysis been executed?	(if applicable) Experimental work	
Is the processing of information original and technically correct, showing critical (scientific) attitude?	Processing	
Does the report include innovative ideas / designs / design strategies? Does it contribute to new technology development?	Innovative character	
Are theory, methods and/or tools effectively applied / developed to provide the solution?	Theory	
Is the analysis clear and is the discussion original and technically correct?	Analysis & Discussion	
Does the report cover the relevant topics adequately?	Completeness	
Are the conclusions sound and justifiable?	Conclusion	
Does the report evaluate the societal and ethical effects of the work?	Societal & ethical aspects	
Organization Graduation Report & Clarity in Writing		
Is the content of the report properly organized (chapters, sections)? including: title page, abstract, nomenclature, introduction, methods, results, discussion, conclusion, page numbering	Organization	
Is the presentation clear to readers familiar with the field?	Presentation	
Is the report free of the typographical and grammatical errors?	English	
Is the acknowledgement of the work of others by references and citations done properly?	Bibliography	
Are the figures and tables all necessary and acceptable? Are all figures and tables readable independently of the body text and referred to in the body text?	Illustrations & Tables	
Are all equations clear and symbols explicitly described? Is the notation consistent with the common literature?	Equations & Nomenclature	
Grade:		

Colloquium

Description	Aspect	Comments
Was the presentation adequately structured?	Structure	
Was the presentation audible and lively presented, making use of adequate audiovisual aids?	Presentation skills	
What was the general impression of the presentation? Did the presentation provide a sufficient impression of the work? Did the presenter stick to the allotted time (20-25 minutes)?	Overall impression	
Grade:		

Graduation Process

Description	Aspect	Comments
Did the student process feedback adequately?	Feedback	
Did the student manage his / her time adequately?	Time management	
Degree of supervision required by the student	Initiative	
Was the student competent in working in a multidisciplinary environment?	Team work & communication	
Grade:		

MSc Exam Defense

Description	Aspect	Comments
Does the student answer questions logically and with sound argumentation?	Questions	
Does the student demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the relevant engineering principles?	Knowledge	
Is the student competent in discerning the main aspects from the details of the thesis work?	Overview	
Does the student demonstrate a solid and confident personality in the discussion?	Personality	
Grade:		

Final Grade

Notice that grades for each aspect are given in the scale from 1.0 to 10.0. Where 1.0 is very poor, 6.0 is satisfactory and 10.0 is excellent. The final mark is a number on the scale between 1-10 rounded to the nearest 0.5.