

Technical report 98-96

The minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra*

G.J. Olsder and B. De Schutter

If you want to cite this report, please use the following reference instead:

G.J. Olsder and B. De Schutter, “The minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra,” Chapter 32 in *Open Problems in Mathematical Systems and Control Theory* (V.D. Blondel, E.D. Sontag, M. Vidyasagar, and J.C. Willems, eds.), London, UK: Springer, ISBN 1-85233-044-9, pp. 157–162, 1999. doi:[10.1007/978-1-4471-0807-8_32](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0807-8_32)

Chapter 32

The minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra

Geert Jan Olsder* and Bart De Schutter**

*Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Delft University of Technology
2600 GA Delft
THE NETHERLANDS
g.j.olsder@math.tudelft.nl

**ESAT-SISTA
K.U.Leuven
3001 Leuven
BELGIUM
Bart.DeSchutter@esat.kuleuven.ac.be

32.1 Description of the problem

Given an arbitrary real sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ elegant necessary and sufficiency conditions are known for the existence of an $n \times n$ matrix A , an $n \times 1$ vector b and a $1 \times n$ vector c , for some appropriate n , such that

$$g_i = cA^{i-1}b \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (32.1)$$

The elements of A , b and c are supposed to be real numbers. An additional requirement might be that n , which determines the sizes of A , b and c , must be as small as possible. In that case n is called the *minimal system order* and the triple A , b and c is a *minimal realization*. Efficient algorithms to calculate a minimal realization are known (see, e.g., [11]).

The problem considered in this chapter arises when the underlying algebra is the so-called max-plus algebra [2, 3] rather than the conventional algebra

tacitly used above. One obtains the max-plus algebra from the conventional algebra by replacing addition by maximization and multiplication by addition. These operations are indicated by \oplus (maximization) and \otimes (addition). In the max-plus algebra one for instance has

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 \otimes 5) \oplus (4 \otimes 1) \\ (-3 \otimes 5) \oplus (0 \otimes 1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

32.2 Motivation

In conventional system theory the sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ arises as the impulse response of the linear, finite-dimensional, discrete-time, time-invariant SISO¹ state space description

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + bu(k), \quad y(k) = cx(k).$$

The problem considered here is to compute a minimal realization and to characterize the minimal system order for max-plus linear systems, i.e., systems of the form

$$x(k+1) = A \otimes x(k) \oplus b \otimes u(k), \quad y(k) = c \otimes x(k). \quad (32.2)$$

In spite of its misleading simple formulation, this problem has met with formidable difficulties.

32.3 History and partial results

32.3.1 Characterization of max-plus-algebraic impulse responses

A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ to be the impulse response of a system that can be described by a model of the form (32.2) is that the sequence is *ultimately periodic* [8, 9], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists m, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}, k_0 \text{ such that } \forall k \geq k_0 : \\ &g_{km+m+s} = \lambda_s^{\otimes c} \otimes g_{km+s} \quad \text{for } s = 0, 1, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda^{\otimes m} = \lambda \times m$.

32.3.2 The minimal system order

We define so-called Hankel matrix $H(\alpha, \beta)$ of size $\alpha \times \beta$ as

$$H(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_\beta \\ g_2 & g_3 & \dots & g_{\beta+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_\alpha & g_{\alpha+1} & \dots & g_{\alpha+\beta-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32.3)$$

¹SISO: single-input single output. Generalizations to multiple-input multiple-output systems exist, but will not be emphasized here.

In conventional system theory the minimal system order is given by the rank of the Hankel matrix $H(\infty, \infty)$. However, in contrast to linear algebra the different notions of rank (like column rank, row rank, minor rank, ...) are in general not equivalent in the max-plus algebra².

Let $H = H(\infty, \infty)$. It can be shown [8] that the minimal system order is equal to the smallest integer r for which there exist an $\infty \times r$ matrix U , an $r \times \infty$ matrix V and an $r \times r$ matrix A such that $H = U \otimes V$ and $U \otimes A = \bar{U}$, where \bar{U} is the matrix obtained by removing the first row of U .

The different notions of matrix rank in the max-plus-algebra can be used to obtain lower and upper bounds for the minimal system order. The so-called max-plus-algebraic minor rank and Schein rank of H provide lower bounds [8, 9]. At present, there are no efficient (i.e., polynomial time) algorithms to compute the max-plus-algebraic minor rank or the Schein rank of a matrix. The max-plus-algebraic weak column rank of H provides an upper bound [8, 9]. Efficient methods to compute this rank are described in [3, 8].

32.3.3 Minimal state space realization: partial results

Transformation to conventional algebra

There exists a transformation from the max-plus algebra to the linear algebra that is based on the following equivalences:

$$x \oplus y = z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e^{xs} + e^{ys} \sim ce^{zs}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty \quad (32.4)$$

$$x \otimes y = z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e^{xs} \cdot e^{ys} = e^{zs} \quad \text{for all } s > 0 \quad (32.5)$$

with $c = 2$ if $x = y$ and $c = 1$ otherwise.

Using this transformation the minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra can be mapped to a minimal realization problem for matrices with exponentials as entries and with conventional addition and multiplication as basic operations [12, 13]. This implies that we can use the techniques from conventional realization theory to obtain a minimal realization afterwards (try to) transform the results back to the max-plus algebra. However, only realizations with positive coefficients for the leading exponentials can be mapped back to the max-plus algebra, and it is not always obvious how and whether such a realization can be constructed.

Partial state space realization

The *partial* minimal realization problem is defined as follows: given a finite sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N , find A, b and c such that $g_i = c \otimes A^{\otimes i-1} \otimes b$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. It can be shown that this leads to a system of so-called max-plus-algebraic polynomial equations and that such a system can be recast as an Extended Linear Complementarity Problem (ELCP) [5, 6]. This enables us to solve the partial minimal realization problem and by applying some limit arguments this results in a realization of the entire impulse response. However, it can be shown that the general ELCP is NP-hard.

²An overview of the relations between the different ranks in the max-plus algebra can be found on p. 122 of [8].

Special sequences of Markov parameters

For some special cases, e.g., if the sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ exhibits uniformly up-terrace behavior [16, 17], or if the sequence exhibits a convex transient behavior and a so-called ultimately geometric behavior with period 1 [4, 10], there exist methods to efficiently compute minimal state space realizations.

32.4 Related fields

Based on the relations (32.4) and (32.5) it is easy to verify that there exists a connection between the minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra and the minimal realization problem for nonnegative systems. Indeed, some of the results obtained in system theory for nonnegative systems also hold in the max-plus algebra (see, e.g., [7]). For more information on the minimal realization problem for nonnegative systems the reader is referred to [1, 15].

Remark: For a more extended overview of known results, open problems and additional references in connection with the minimal realization problem in the max-plus algebra the interested reader is referred to [14].

Acknowledgments

Bart De Schutter is a senior research assistant with the F.W.O. (Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders). This research was sponsored by the Flemish Government (GOA-MIPS), the Belgian Federal Government (IUAP IV-02, IUAP IMechS), and the European Commission (TMR (Alapedes), network contract ERBFMRXCT960074). The part of Geert Jan Olsder was also sponsored by the same EU contract.

Bibliography

- [1] B.D.O. Anderson, M. Deistler, L. Farina, and L. Benvenuti, “Nonnegative realization of a linear system with nonnegative impulse response,” *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 43, pp. 134–142, 1996.
- [2] F. Baccelli, G. Cohen, G.J. Olsder, and J.P. Quadrat, *Synchronization and Linearity*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1992.
- [3] R.A. Cuninghame-Green, *Minimax Algebra*, vol. 166 of *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [4] R.A. Cuninghame-Green and P. Butkovič, “Discrete-event dynamic systems: The strictly convex case,” *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 57, pp. 45–63, 1995.
- [5] B. De Schutter, *Max-Algebraic System Theory for Discrete Event Systems*. PhD thesis, Faculty of Applied Sciences, K.U.Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, 1996.
- [6] B. De Schutter and B. De Moor, “Minimal realization in the max algebra is an extended linear complementarity problem,” *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 103–111, May 1995.
- [7] B. De Schutter and B. De Moor, “Matrix factorization and minimal state space realization in the max-plus algebra,” in *Proceedings of the 1997 American Control Conference (ACC’97)*, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA, pp. 3136–3140, June 1997.
- [8] S. Gaubert, *Théorie des Systèmes Linéaires dans les Dioïdes*. PhD thesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Paris, France, July 1992.
- [9] S. Gaubert, “On rational series in one variable over certain dioids,” Tech. rep. 2162, INRIA, Le Chesnay, France, Jan. 1994.
- [10] S. Gaubert, P. Butkovič, and R. Cuninghame-Green, “Minimal (max, +) realization of convex sequences,” Tech. rep., INRIA, Rocquencourt, France, 1997. Accepted for publication in SIAM.
- [11] T. Kailath, *Linear Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1980.
- [12] G.J. Olsder, “Some results on the minimal realization of discrete-event dynamic systems,” Tech. rep. 85-35, Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft, The Netherlands, 1985.
- [13] G.J. Olsder, “On the characteristic equation and minimal realizations for discrete-event dynamic systems,” in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Analysis and Optimization of Systems*, Antibes, France, vol. 83 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, pp. 189–201, Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1986.

- [14] G.J. Olsder, B. De Schutter and R.E. de Vries, "The minimal state space realization problem in the max-plus algebra: An overview," Tech. rep. 97-107, ESAT-SISTA, K.U.Leuven, Belgium, Dec. 1997.
- [15] J.M. van den Hof, *System Theory and System Identification of Compartmental Systems*. PhD thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, Nov. 1996.
- [16] L. Wang and X. Xu, "On minimal realization of SISO DEDS over max algebra," in *Proceedings of the 2nd European Control Conference*, Groningen, The Netherlands, pp. 535-540, June 1993.
- [17] L. Wang, X. Xu, and R.A. Cuninghame-Green, "Realization of a class of discrete event sequence over max-algebra," in *Proceedings of the 1995 American Control Conference*, Seattle, Washington, pp. 3146-3150, June 1995.