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In this addendum we present an upper bound for the minimal system order of a max-linear time-invariant DES that can be computed very efficiently, and we give some lemmas that characterize the ultimate behavior of the sequence $\left\{A^{\otimes^{k}}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$.

## A Upper bounds for the minimal system order

Definition A. 1 (Ultimately geometric impulse response [12, A4])
Let $\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the impulse response of a max-linear time-invariant DES. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}, \exists c \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon} \text { such that } \forall k \geqslant k_{0}: G_{k+c}=\lambda^{\otimes^{c}} \otimes G_{k} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we say that the impulse response $\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is ultimately geometric.
Note that an ultimately geometric sequence $G=\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also ultimately periodic. Furthermore, the smallest integers $c$ and $k_{0}$ for which (A.1) holds, correspond to respectively the period of $G$ and the length of the transient part of $G$.
Suppose that we have a DES that can be characterized by a triple $(A, B, C)$. A sufficient but not necessary condition for the impulse response of this DES to be ultimately geometric is that $A$ is irreducible (cf. Theorem 2.4). This will, e.g., be the case for a DES without separate independent subsystems, and with a cyclic behavior or with feedback from the output to the input (such as, e.g., a flexible production system in which the parts are carried around on a limited number of pallets that circulate in the system [3]).

Definition A. 2 (Max-plus-algebraic weak column rank [11, 12]) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{m \times n}$. If $A \neq \varepsilon_{m \times n}$ then the max-plus-algebraic weak column rank of $A$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}(A)=\min \{ & \# I \mid I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \text { and } \forall k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, \\
& \exists l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \exists i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l} \in I, \exists \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{l} \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon} \\
& \text { such that } \left.A_{., k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j} A_{\cdot, i_{j}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}(\varepsilon)=0$.
Efficient methods to compute the max-plus-algebraic weak column rank of a matrix are described in $[4,11, \mathrm{~A} 2]$. It is easy to verify that for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{m \times n}$ we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \text { Schein }}(A) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}(A)$.

Lemma A. 3 Let $G$ be an ultimately geometric sequence with period $c$. Let $k_{0}$ be the length of the transient part of $G$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H(G)=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}(H(G))_{\{1,2, \ldots, k\},\{1,2, \ldots, k\}} \quad \text { for all } k \geqslant k_{0}+c \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We shall prove this lemma for a sequence of numbers $g=\left\{g_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. The extension of this proof to a sequence of matrices is straightforward.
Define $H_{1}=(H(g))_{.,\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\}}$ and $H_{2}=(H(g))_{\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\},\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\}}$.
First we show that $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H(g)=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H_{1}$.
Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$
(H(G))_{., k_{0}+k+1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{k_{0}+k} \\
g_{k_{0}+k+1} \\
g_{k_{0}+k+2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $g$ is ultimately geometric, there exists a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ such that $g_{k_{0}+c+k}=\lambda^{\otimes^{c}} \otimes g_{k_{0}+k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $g_{k_{0}+r c+k}=\lambda^{\otimes^{r c}} \otimes g_{k_{0}+k}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus also

$$
(H(G))_{., k_{0}+r c+k+1}=\lambda^{\otimes r c} \otimes(H(G))_{., k_{0}+k+1} \quad \text { for all } r \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \text { and } k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

This implies that any column $(H(G))_{., k_{0}+c+l}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ can be written as $\alpha \otimes(H(G))_{., k_{0}+s}$ for some $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, c\}$ and some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$. As a consequence, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H(G)=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}(H(G))_{.,\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\}}=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H_{1}
$$

Using a similar reasoning as the one that has been used above, it can be shown that any row $\left(H_{1}\right)_{k_{0}+c+l, .}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ can be written as $\alpha \otimes\left(H_{1}\right)_{k_{0}+s, .}$ for some $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, c\}$ and some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$. So if we have

$$
\left(H_{2}\right)_{., k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j}\left(H_{2}\right)_{., i_{j}}
$$

for some $l, k, i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{l} \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$, then we also have

$$
\left(H_{1}\right)_{., k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j}\left(H_{1}\right)_{., i_{j}}
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus} H_{1}=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}\left(H_{1}\right)_{\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}+c\right\}, .}=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H_{2}$. Hence, $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H(G)=\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H_{2}$. As a consequence, (A.2) holds.

Remark A. 4 Note that Lemma A. 3 implies that if $G$ is an ultimately geometric sequence then $\operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}} H(G)$ is finite and can be determined using a finite number of elementary operations.

The max-plus-algebraic sum of sequences is defined as follows. If $G=\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $H=$ $\left\{H_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with $G_{k}, H_{k} \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{l \times m}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $G \oplus H$ is a sequence with $(G \oplus H)_{k}=G_{k} \oplus H_{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
From Theorem 3.1 it follows that the impulse response of a max-linear time-invariant DES can always be considered as the max-plus-algebraic sum of a finite number of ultimately geometric impulse responses (see also [1, 11, 12]).

Theorem A.5 Let $g$ be the impulse response of a max-linear time-invariant SISO DES with $g \neq\{\varepsilon\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{s}$ be ultimately geometric sequences such that $g=g_{1} \oplus g_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus g_{s}$. Then there exists a state space realization of $g$ of order $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{rank}_{\oplus, \mathrm{wc}}\left(H\left(g_{i}\right)\right)$.

Proof: See [11, 12].
Proposition A. 6 For any ultimately periodic sequence $G$ we can compute a finite upper bound for the minimal system order of the max-linear time-invariant DES the impulse response of which coincides with $G$ using a finite number of elementary operations.

Proof: This is a direct consequence of Lemma A. 3 and Theorem A.5.

## B The ultimate behavior of the sequence of consecutive max-plus-algebraic matrix powers

If we permute the rows or the columns of the max-plus-algebraic identity matrix, we obtain a max-plus-algebraic permutation matrix. If $P \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ is a max-plus-algebraic permutation matrix, then we have $P \otimes P^{T}=P^{T} \otimes P=E_{n}$. A matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{m \times n}$ is a max-plus-algebraic upper triangular matrix if $r_{i j}=\varepsilon$ for all $i, j$ with $i>j$.

Lemma B. 1 If $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ then there exists a max-plus-algebraic permutation matrix $P \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ such that the matrix $\hat{A}=P \otimes A \otimes P^{T}$ is a max-plus-algebraic block upper triangular matrix of the form

$$
\hat{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\hat{A}_{11} & \hat{A}_{12} & \ldots & \hat{A}_{1 l}  \tag{A.3}\\
\varepsilon & \hat{A}_{22} & \ldots & \hat{A}_{2 l} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varepsilon & \varepsilon & \ldots & \hat{A}_{l l}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $l \geqslant 1$ and where the matrices $\hat{A}_{11}, \hat{A}_{22}, \ldots, \hat{A}_{l l}$ are square and irreducible. The matrices $\hat{A}_{11}, \hat{A}_{22}, \ldots, \hat{A}_{l l}$ are uniquely determined to within simultaneous permutation of their rows and columns, but their ordering in (A.3) is not necessarily unique.

Proof: See, e.g., [1]. This lemma is also the max-plus-algebraic equivalent of a result of [A5]. A proof of the uniqueness assertion can be found in [A1] (Theorem 3.2.4 ${ }^{1}$ ).

The form in (A.3) is called the max-plus-algebraic Frobenius normal form of the matrix $A$. Note that if $A$ is irreducible then there is only one block in (A.3) and then $A$ is a max-plusalgebraic Frobenius normal form of itself.
Let $A \in \mathbb{B}^{n \times n}$ (or $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ). If $\hat{A}=P \otimes A \otimes P^{T}$ is the max-plus-algebraic Frobenius normal form of $A$, then we have $A=P^{T} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes P$. Hence,

$$
A^{\otimes^{k}}=\left(P^{T} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes P\right)^{\otimes^{k}}=P^{T} \otimes \hat{A}^{\otimes^{k}} \otimes P
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we may consider without loss of generality the sequence $\left\{\hat{A}^{\otimes^{k}}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ instead of the sequence $\left\{A^{\otimes^{k}}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Furthermore, since the transformation from $A$ to $\hat{A}$ corresponds to a simultaneous reordering of the rows and columns of $A$ (or to a reordering of the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(A))$, we have $c(A)=c(\hat{A})$.

The following lemma is an extension of Theorem 2.4 and a corrected version of a lemma that can be found in [A6]:

[^1]Lemma B. 2 Let $\hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix of the form (A.3) where the matrices $\hat{A}_{11}, \ldots, \hat{A}_{l l}$ are square and irreducible. Let $\lambda_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ be respectively the max-plus-algebraic eigenvalue and the cyclicity of $\hat{A}_{i i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$. Define sets $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}$ such that $\hat{A}_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}}=\hat{A}_{i j}$ for all $i, j$ with $i \leqslant j$.
Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i j}=\left\{\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, l\} \mid i=i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{s}=j\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\hat{A}_{i_{r} i_{r+1}} \neq \varepsilon \text { for } r=0, \ldots, s-1\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{i j}=\bigcup_{\gamma \in S_{i j}} \gamma \\
& \Lambda_{i j}= \begin{cases}\left\{\lambda_{t} \mid t \in \Gamma_{i j}\right\} & \text { if } \Gamma_{i j} \neq \emptyset, \\
\{\varepsilon\} & \text { if } \Gamma_{i j}=\emptyset,\end{cases} \\
& c_{i j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{lcm}\left\{c_{t} \mid t \in \Gamma_{i j}\right\} & \text { if } \Gamma_{i j} \neq \emptyset \text { and } c_{t} \neq 0 \text { for some } t \in \Gamma_{i j}, \\
1 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i, j$ with $i<j$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i, j \in\{1, \ldots, l\} \text { with } i>j:\left(\hat{A}^{\otimes^{k}}\right)_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}}=\varepsilon_{n_{i} \times n_{j}} \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists an integer $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}:\left(\hat{A}^{\otimes^{k+c_{i}}}\right)_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}}=\lambda_{i}{ }^{\otimes_{i}} \otimes\left(\hat{A}^{\otimes^{k}}\right)_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}} \text { for all } k \geqslant K \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall i, j \in\{1, \ldots, l\} \text { with } i<j, \forall p \in \alpha_{i}, \forall q \in \alpha_{j}, \exists \gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{c_{i j}-1} \in \Lambda_{i j} \text { such that } \\
& \qquad\left(\hat{A}^{k c_{i j}+c_{i j}+s}\right)_{p q}=\gamma_{s}{ }^{\otimes_{i j}} \otimes\left(\hat{A}^{\otimes k_{i j}+s}\right)_{p q} \quad \text { for all } k \geqslant K \text { and for } s=0, \ldots, c_{i j}-1 . \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, for each combination $i, j, p, q$ with $i<j, p \in \alpha_{i}$ and $q \in \alpha_{j}$, there exists at least one index $s \in\left\{0, \ldots, c_{i j}-1\right\}$ such that the smallest $\gamma_{s}$ for which (A.6) holds is equal to $\max \Lambda_{i j}$.

Proof: See [A3].
If $G=\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the impulse response of a max-linear time-invariant DES and if the triple $(A, B, C)$ is a state space realization of the DES, then it follows from Lemmas B. 1 and B. 2 that the period of $G$ is a divisor of the cyclicity $c(A)$ of the system matrix $A$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although this theorem is stated for $(0,1)$-matrices, there is a one-to-one correspondence between a max-plus-algebraic boolean matrix and a $(0,1)$-matrix if we let 0 and $\varepsilon$ correspond with 1 and 0 respectively.

